

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT**  
**FOR THE WESTERN DISTRICT OF LOUISIANA**  
**SHREVEPORT DIVISION**

**JOHN VALENTINE**

**CIVIL ACTION NO. 12-2000-P**

**VERSUS**

**JUDGE FOOTE**

**DESOTO PARISH  
SHERIFF, ET AL.**

**MAGISTRATE JUDGE HORNSBY**

**REPORT AND RECOMMENDATION**

In accordance with the standing order of this court, this matter was referred to the undersigned Magistrate Judge for review, report and recommendation.

**STATEMENT OF CLAIM**

Before the court is a civil rights complaint filed by pro se plaintiff John Valentine ("Plaintiff"), pursuant to 42 U.S.C. § 1983. This complaint was received and filed in this court on July 23, 2012. Plaintiff claims his civil rights were violated by prison officials while incarcerated at the DeSoto Parish Law Enforcement and Detention Center. He names the DeSoto Parish Sheriff, Lt. Randy Clark, Deputy Curtis Jones, Deputy Thomas, Deputy Jock and Deputy Tommy LNU as defendants.

On May 3, 2013, this court ordered Plaintiff to furnish the Clerk with a copy of his complaint, two completed summonses, and one completed USM 285 form for each Defendant for service. [Doc. 7]. To date, Plaintiff has not done so.

Accordingly;

**IT IS RECOMMENDED** that this complaint be **DISMISSED WITHOUT PREJUDICE**, sua sponte, for failure to prosecute, pursuant to Rule 41(b) of the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure as interpreted by the court and under the court's inherent power to control its own docket. See Link v. Wabash Railroad Company, 370 U.S. 626, 82 S.Ct. 1386 (1962); Rogers v. Kroger Company, 669 F.2d 317, 320-321 (5th Cir. 1983).

### **OBJECTIONS**

Under the provisions of 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1)(C) and Fed. R. Civ. P. 72(b), parties aggrieved by this recommendation have fourteen (14) days from service of this Report and Recommendation to file specific, written objections with the Clerk of Court, unless an extension of time is granted under Fed. R. Civ. P. 6(b). A party may respond to another party's objections within ten (10) days after being served with a copy thereof. Counsel are directed to furnish a courtesy copy of any objections or responses to the District Judge at the time of filing.

A party's failure to file written objections to the proposed findings, conclusions and recommendation set forth above, within fourteen (14) days after being served with a copy shall bar that party, except upon grounds of plain error, from attacking, on appeal, the proposed factual findings and legal conclusions that were accepted by the district court and that were not objected to by the aforementioned party. See Douglas v. U.S.A.A., 79 F.3d 1415 (5th Cir. 1996) (en banc).

**THUS DONE AND SIGNED**, in chambers, in Shreveport, Louisiana, on this 28<sup>th</sup>  
day of June 2013.

  
\_\_\_\_\_  
MARK L. HORNSBY  
UNITED STATES MAGISTRATE JUDGE